RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

# IMPORTANT CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

FEB 1 5 2007

The documents accompanying this telecopy transmission contain confidential information belonging to the sender which is legally protected. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named below. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this telecopied information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (collect) to arrange for return of the telecopied document to us.

TO: United States Patent and Trademark Office

Fax No. 571-273-8300

FROM: Mary Yauger

Fax No. 513-634-3752

Phone No. 513-634-4223

Application No.: 09/489,310

Inventor(s):

Gary Stephenson

Filed:

01/21/2000

Docket No.:

7922

Confirmation No.: 5677

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET AND

**CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8** 

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on <u>February 15, 2007</u>, to the above-identified facsimile number.

Listed below are the item(s) being submitted with this Certificate of Transmission:\*\*

Transmittal Fee (1 page)
 Reply Brief (7 pages)

Number of Pages Including this Page: (9 pages)

Comments:

\*\*Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, OR this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

(FAX-USPTO.doc Revised 11/18/2005)

PTO/SB/17	(1-06)
T 05 001 41 6	

	U.S. Patent and Trademark	Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCER +	
FEE TRANSMITTAL	Complete if Known		
for FY 2007	Application Number	09/489,310	
Patent fees are subject to annual revision.	Confirmation Number	5677	
Effective December 8, 2004	Filing Date	01/21/2000 RECEIVED	
	First Named Inventor	Gary Stephenson CENTRAL FAX CENT	E
	Examiner Name	Frederick Krass FLR 15 2007	
	Art Unit	1614	
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$620)	Docket No.	7922	

METHOD OF PAYMENT	FEE CALCULATION (contin	nued)	
1. [X] The Director is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees	5. ADDITIONAL FEES		
submitted on this form, credit any over payments, and	Fee Description		Fee Paid
charge any additional fee(s) during the pendency of this application to:	Extension for reply within 1st month	(\$120)	[\$120]
Deposit Account Number: 16-2480	Extension for reply within 2 <sup>nd</sup> month	(\$450)	n
Deposit Account Name: The Procter & Gamble Company		(\$1,020)	-
•		(\$1,590)	•••
FEE CALCULATION	1	(\$2,160)	
2. BASIC FILING FEE – Large Entity	• •	• • •	
FILING SEARCH EXAMINATION	Information Disclosure Statement fee	(\$180)	0
FEE FEE FEE Application	37 CFR 1.16(f) Late Oath/Declaration		
Type Fee Paid		(\$130)	П
Nonprovisional (\$300) (\$500) (\$200)	37 CFR 1.17 (q) Surcharge - Late provisional	()	u
Utility (Total = \$1000)	l '* '	(\$50)	0
Design (\$200) (\$100) (\$130)		(\$130)	Ö
(Total = \$430)	Tron Bagnon specification	(0.50)	u
Reissue (\$300) (\$500) (\$600)	Notice of Appeal	(\$500)	0
(Total = \$1400) []			
Provisional Utility filing fee (Total = \$200)	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	(\$500)	[\$500]
3. <u>APPLICATION SIZE FEE:</u>	Request for oral hearing	(\$1,000)	
Sheets of Spec and Drawings []			
(\$250 for each 50 sheets in excess of 100, except for	Acceptance of unintentionally delayed claim for price		_
sequence and program listings)	under 35 U.S.C. 119, 120, 121, or 365 (a) or (c)	(\$1,370)	
SUBTOTAL (2)+(3) (\$)[]	Other:	_	0
4. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE:			
Extra Fee from Fee Claims Below Paid			
Total Claims $\begin{bmatrix} -20^{\circ \bullet} = \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} -20^{\circ \bullet} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	·		٠
Independent Claims [] - 3**= [] x [] = []			
Multiple Dependent claims: [] = []			
** or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see below			
Fee Description			
Claims in excess of 20 (\$50 per claim)			
Independent claims in excess of 3 (\$200 per claim)  Multiple dependent claim, if not paid (\$360)			
**Reissue: each independent claim over 3 and more than in the			
original patent (\$200 per claim)	·		
**Reissue claims: each claim over 20 and more than original patent (\$50 per claim)			
STIRTOTAL (A) (C)	CIIDTOTAI	<b>(5)</b>	(E) [E430]

SUBMITTED BY		Corr	Complete (if applicable)		
Name (Print/Type)	S. Robert Chuey	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	39,140	Telephone	(513) 634-0102
Signature	8			Date	02/15/2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR /17. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 7 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commence, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissionar for Patents, P.O. Box 1458, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

P&G Case 7922

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Application of

GARY (NMN) STEPHENSON

Confirmation No. 5677

Serial No. 09/489,310

Group Art Unit 1614

Filed January 21, 2000.

Examiner: Frederick F. Krass

For METHODS OF USING A BEVERAGE COMPOSITION

#### **APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF**

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Reply Brief is submitted in response to the Examiner's Answer, mailed November 16, 2006. A one (1) month extension of time to file this Reply is hereby requested.

Appellant respectfully disagrees with one characterization the Examiner has made with respect to Appellant's Arguments and the present claims. As the Appellant's Brief appears to meet the Examiner's requirement, no further comments are made herein.

#### **REAL PARTY IN INTEREST**

No Changes.

## **RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

No Changes.

#### STATUS OF CLAIMS

No Changes.

# STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No Changes.

02/16/2007 HGUTEMA1 00000028 162480 09489310

01 FC:1402

500.00 DA 120.00 DA Appl. No.09/489,310 2
Docket No. 7922
Reply Brief dated 2/15/2007
Reply to Examiner's Answer mailed on 11/16/2006
Customer No. 27752

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER FEB 1 5 2007

## SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

No Changes.

# GROUNDS FOR REJECTION TO BE APPEALED

No Changes.

#### **ARGUMENTS**

Beginning at page 4, and continuing through page 6 of the Answer, the Examiner discusses the interpretation of the claimed language "in need thereof". The Examiner contends that remarks made during prosecution nullify the "In need thereof" language and more restrictive language is now required. The Appellant respectfully disagrees.

The claimed beverage has a pH below about 5, and it is the low acidity of the beverage itself that causes the softening of the dental enamel, hence contributing to the enamel erosion. Thus, not all beverages, nor all people who drink beverages, are "in need thereof". Clearly people who drink only neutral pH beverages (for example, water) are not likely to suffer dental erosion from the neutral pH beverage. Accordingly they are not "in need thereof". Placing unduly restrictive language into the claims will not clarify this position any, and any additional claim language is clearly outside the scope of the holding in the CAFC's decision Jansen v. Rexall, 342 f.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2003).

#### CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's rejection of Claims 23-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 3,681,091, to Kohl et al. should be overturned. For all of the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully asserted that the methods of the present claims patentable over the prior art. Reversal of this rejection is therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Appl. No.09/489,310 3
Docket No. 7922
Reply Brief dated 2/15/2007
Reply to Examiner's Answer mailed on 11/16/2006
Customer No. 27752

For: GARY (NMN) STEPHENSON

S. Robert Chuey

Attorney for Appellants Registration No. 39,140 Telephone: (513) 634-0102

Date: February 15, 2007 Customer No. 27752 Appl. No.09/489,310 4
Docket No. 7922
Reply Brief dated 2/15/2007
Reply to Examiner's Answer mailed on 11/16/2006
Customer No. 27752

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER FEB 1 5 2007

### **APPENDIX I**

#### **Appealed Claims**

23. A method of treating dental erosion comprising orally administering to a mammal in need thereof an effective amount of a beverage composition having a pH of less than about 5;

wherein the beverage composition comprises a compound having the structure:

$$\mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{O}} \mathbf{O} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{II}} \mathbf{O} - \mathbf{M}$$

wherein n is an integer averaging from about 7 to about 100 and M, M', and M" are each, independently, selected from the group consisting of sodium and potassium, and wherein the beverage composition is substantially free of calcium and fluoride.

- 24. A method according to Claim 23 wherein the beverage composition has a pH from about 2 to about 4.5.
- 25. A method according to Claim 24 wherein the beverage composition further comprises a sweetener.
- 26. A method according to Claim 25 wherein M, M', and M" are each sodium.
- 27. A method according to Claim 26 wherein n is an integer averaging from about 10 to about 30.
- 28. A method according to Claim 27 wherein the beverage composition has a pH from about 2.7 to about 3.5.

Appl. No.09/489,310 5
Docket No. 7922
Reply Brief dated 2/15/2007
Reply to Examiner's Answer mailed on 11/16/2006
Customer No. 27752

- 29. A method according to Claim 28 wherein n is an integer averaging from about 13 to about 25.
- 30. A method according to Claim 29 wherein the beverage composition comprises from about 0.1% to about 20% of the sweetener, by weight of the composition.
- 31. A method according to Claim 30 wherein n is an integer averaging from about 19 to about 25.

Appl. No.09/489,310 6
Docket No. 7922
Reply Brief dated 2/15/2007
Reply to Examiner's Answer mailed on 11/16/2006
Customer No. 27752

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER FEB 1 5 2007

RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None

Appl. No.09/489,310 7
Docket No. 7922
Reply Brief dated 2/15/2007
Reply to Examiner's Answer mailed on 11/16/2006
Customer No. 27752

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER FEB 1 5 2007

**EVIDENCE APPENDIX** 

None